SOLUTIONS - CIVIL LITIGATION SERIES

۲

Trending Topics in Business Litigation

Lawyers who represent business clients, as plaintiffs or defendants, will benefit from learning more about trending topics in business litigation and dispute resolution, including how to keep their cool before and during trial.

The State Bar of Wisconsin PINNACLE's annual Trending Topics in Business Litigation seminar took place on Dec. 1, 2022. The seminar was conducted both in person and by webcast, and webcast replays are scheduled for the coming weeks. The day-long seminar featured skilled litigators presenting on relevant topics, such as shareholder disputes, corporate designee depositions, noncompete agreements, fraudulent transfers, and damages in business litigation cases, followed by panel discussions in which the panelists shared their insights, experiences, and practice tips regarding the covered topics. Separate panel discussions covered civility in the courtroom; hot topics in business litigation; and hot topics in arbitrations, mediations, and settlement agreements.

Shareholder Disputes

Matthew Fernholz and David Peterson presented on shareholder disputes. They began by discussing the differences between direct and derivative claims and the shareholderstanding issues raised by this distinction. They next described special litigation committees (SLCs), which are authorized under Wis. Stat. section 180.0744, and offered their views on how to create and effectively use an SLC, how to enforce an SLC report, and the standards courts apply when reviewing SLC reports.

Fernholz and Peterson also discussed equal distributions among shareholders, including bonuses and constructive dividends; breach-of-fiduciary-duty claims arising therefrom and application of the business-judgment rule; selfdealing and mismanagement claims; and requests for judicial dissolution of a company, including the potential ramifications of requesting dissolution.

Fernholz and Peterson concluded by describing the facts and issues of a recent shareholder dispute in which they represent opposing parties. Following the presentation, the panelists shared their own experiences on these subjects. They offered practice tips, discussed strategies for creating an SLC, and explored the powerful and potentially dispositive effect an SLC report can have on a case.

Corporate Designee Depositions

Aaron Aizenberg's presentation was titled "Weaponizing the 30(b)(6)

BY MICHAEL J. COHEN

The day-long seminar featured skilled litigators presenting on topics such as shareholder disputes, corporate designee depositions, noncompete agreements, fraudulent transfers, and damages in business litigation cases.



Michael J. Cohen, Marquette 1986, is a shareholder with Meissner Tiernev Fisher & Nichols S.C., Milwaukee, and serves on the firm's executive committee. He concentrates his practice on complex commercial litigation and complex insurance coverage litigation, including environmental liability and bad faith. He also frequently serves as a mediator and arbitrator. He is a member of the State Bar of Wisconsin's Business Law, Dispute Resolution, and Litigation sections and the Senior Lawyers Division. Access the digital article at www.wisbar.org/wl. mjc@mtfn.com

۲





JANUARY 2023 35

Solutions.indd 35

12/21/2022 10:18:30 AM

YOUR PRACTICE

Deposition." He gave an overview of the rules under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) and its state analog, Wis. Stat. section 804.05(2)(e). Aizenberg highlighted the meet-and-confer requirement that exists under federal law but not under Wisconsin law and the importance of such a conference.

Aizenberg also discussed common areas of abuse in corporate-designee depositions and tips on measures to curb abuses. These include overly broad deposition topics and their effect on witness preparation; corporatedesignee deposition notices provided near the close of discovery and the requirement for a reasonable period of time to prepare the designee witnesses; questions beyond the scope of the designated topics and practice tips on how to respond when that occurs; improper inquiries into expert testimony, including damages; the seven-hour time limit and its

application when there is more than one corporate designee witness; manufacturing inconsistencies in the testimony of key witnesses who have already been deposed in the litigation; holding the corporatedesignee witness to a high standard given the volume and breadth of topics requested and finding alternative ways to provide the information requested by means of interrogatory responses and responses to document requests; disguised attempts to re-depose a key witness through a corporate-designee deposition; and attempts to use a corporate-designee deposition to overly burden the responding party.

۲

Noncompete Agreements

Robert Corris presented a segment titled "Mining for Diamonds: Examining Diamond Assets LLC v. Godina and Karsten v. Terra Engineering & Construction Corporation." He discussed

Wisconsin OWI Defense: The Law & Practice

By Andrew Mishlove & James Nesci

Mounting a successful OWI defense presents special challenges and is more difficult than ever. *Wisconsin OWI Defense: The Law and Practice*,



Second Edition (text and supplementary DVD) contains information on the chemical, biological and technological concepts and issues underlying OWI prosecution and defense and gives you important information to help you attain a successful verdict.



ISBN 10: 1-936360-78-0 ISBN 13: 978-1-936360-78-9 Copyright Date 2nd Ed: May 20, 2020 Pages: 480 Binding: Casebound with DVD Size: 8.5 x 11 Inches (US) Price \$187

Order today @ www.lawyersandjudges.com

the Wisconsin Court of Appeals' recent decision in *Diamond Assets LLC v*. *Godina*.¹ in which the court clarified when a restrictive covenant might be the proper subject of a motion to dismiss. He explored Diamond Assets' reinspection of the Wisconsin Supreme Court's decision in Rollins Burdick Hunter of Wisconsin Inc. v. Hamilton² and compared its treatment of issues to the court of appeals' analysis in another recent case, Karsten v. Terra Engineering & Construction Corp.³ Corris discussed the Karsten court's questioning of *Selmer Co. v. Rinn*,⁴ in which the court of appeals declined to apply Wisconsin's restrictive covenant statute, Wis. Stat. section 103.465, to a restrictive covenant in a stock option agreement. After this presentation, the panel discussed whether Selmer might be reexamined in future cases.

Civility in the Courtroom

Joe Russell led a panel discussion with the Hon. Ellen Brostrom, a Milwaukee County Circuit Court judge, and Tim Pierce, State Bar of Wisconsin ethics counsel, on civility in the courtroom. They discussed the civility expectations of the U.S. Supreme Court and the Wisconsin Supreme Court. They also explored how to define civility, the attorney's oath, standards of courtesy and decorum, and various rules of ethics and professional conduct.

Fraudulent Transfers

Susan Lovern presented a detailed overview of fraudulent transfer claims and defenses under Wis. Stat. chapter 242, the Wisconsin Uniform Transfer Act. She discussed the Act's key definitions, the categories of claims that may be brought by different classes of creditors, actual and constructive intent in proving claims under the Act, statute-of-limitation issues, remedies available to a creditor, and transfers that are not voidable under Wis. Stat. section 242.08. ۲

 (\bullet)

YOUR PRACTICE

Hot Topics in Business Litigation

The next group of panelists offered practice tips on litigating businessdefamation and related claims. They discussed defenses unique to those claims and difficulties and practical considerations related to proving damages. The panel explored civil and criminal contempt-of-court motions, offering a detailed discussion of Sasson v. Braun,⁵ in which the court of appeals affirmed a circuit court's order dismissing a lawsuit with prejudice as a sanction for a plaintiff's bad faith and egregious misconduct in litigating a claim. The panelists also discussed zealous advocacy and motions for sanctions for frivolous claims and offered commentary on the 21-day safe harbor under Wis. Stat. section 802.05(3)(a)1.

Damages

Matt O'Neill gave a presentation titled, "Damages – Offense and Defense Issues and Strategies." He discussed damages for contract, tort, and various statutory claims, including those that provide for enhanced damages. O'Neill also explored strategies for analyzing damages pre-litigation; plaintiffs' side strategies for pleading, organizing, working up, and disclosing damages; and strategies for pleading and attacking damages defensively.

Hot Topics in Arbitrations, Mediations, and Settlement Agreements

In the final session, Mike Cohen presented on substantive and procedural arbitrability; the finality of arbitration, including a review of the recent "sleeping arbitrator" case, *Loren Imhoff Homebuilder Inc. v. Taylor*⁶; and the thorny issues that can arise when dealing with a biased arbitrator. The panel also discussed the court of appeals' decision in *Paul R. Ponfil Trust v. Charmoli Holdings LLC*,⁷ which held that a mediation term sheet was unenforceable because its release and indemnity provisions were too

ALSO OF INTEREST

State Bar of Wisconsin PINNACLE Seminar:

Trending Topics in Business Litigation Viewing Opportunities and Participants

۲

Did you miss the live program? It's not too late to watch the seminar as a webcast.

The State Bar will replay the presentation by webcast on Jan. 3, Jan. 9, Jan. 18, Jan. 24, and Jan. 31, 2023.

To register, visit State Bar Marketplace: https://marketplace.wisbar.org/store/ products/cle-seminars/saturday%2cdecember-10%2c-2022/c-25/c-78/p-28988#28988.

Participants: Michael J. Cohen of Meissner Tierney Fisher & Nichols S.C. chaired the program and led two of the panel discussions. The following individuals were presenters or panelists:

- Aaron H. Aizenberg, Kravit, Hovel & Krawczyk S.C.
- Hon. Ellen Brostrom, Milwaukee County Circuit Court.



• Robert B. Corris, Robert B. Corris S.C.

• Matthew M. Fernholz, Cramer, Multhauf & Hammes LLP.

• David J. Turek, Gass Turek LLC.

• Dean P. Laing, O'Neil Cannon Hollman DeJong & Laing S.C.

- Susan E. Lovern, von Briesen & Roper s.c.
- Matthew W. O'Neill, Fox, O'Neill & Shannon S.C.

• David Peterson, Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren s.c.

- Timothy Pierce, State Bar of Wisconsin.
- Joseph M. Russell, von Briesen & Roper s.c. **WL**

indefinite and uncertain. The panel emphasized the importance of including all material terms and conditions in mediation term sheets and settlement agreements and offered various practice pointers to ensure such agreements are enforceable. The panel concluded with a discussion of releases pursuant to *Pierringer v. Hoger*⁸ and their limited value for intentional tort and other nonnegligence claims.

Conclusion

This was an excellent program with highly experienced speakers offering a wealth of knowledge in this area of practice. Wisconsin lawyers who are involved in business litigation matters would benefit from this seminar, regardless of their level of experience. **WL**

ENDNOTES

¹Diamond Assets LLC v. Godina, 2022 WI App 47, 404 Wis. 2d 404, 979 N.W.2d 586.

²*Rollins Burdick Hunter of Wis. Inc. v. Hamilton*, 101 Wis. 2d 460, 304 N.W.2d 752 (1981).

³Karsten v. Terra Eng'g & Constr. Corp., No. 2016AP2025, 2017 WL 3923804 (Wis. Ct. App. Sept. 7, 2017) (unpublished).

⁴Selmer Co. v. Rinn, 2010 WI App 106, 328 Wis. 2d 263. 789 N.W.2d 621.

⁵Sasson v. Braun, No. 2014AP1707, 2015 WL 4731641 (Wis. Ct. App. June 25, 2015) (unpublished).

⁶Loren Imhoff Homebuilder Inc. v. Taylor, 2022 WI App 14, 401 Wis. 2d 510, 973 N.W.2d 836.

⁷Paul R. Ponfil Tr. v. Charmoli Holdings LLC, 2019 WI App 56, 389 Wis. 2d 88, 935 N.W.2d 308.

⁸Pierringer v. Hoger, 21 Wis. 2d 182, 124 N.W.2d 106 (1983). **WL**

Solutions.indd 37

۲

۲